Feeding effects of a systemic insecticide on ant workers and larvae

Pohl M¹, Eilebrecht S², Glöckener B², Hommen U², Klein J², Schäfers C², Gadau J¹, Otto M³ ¹ University of Münster, ² IME Schmallenberg, , ³ Federal Agency for Nature Conservation (BfN)

Introduction

- Neonicotinoids, e.g. imidacloprid, are used in agro-ecosystems to control a variety of pest insects.^{1,2}
- These neurotoxins bind to nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the central nervous system³ and cause receptor \bullet blockage, paralysis, and death.⁴
- However, pesticides can exert broad effects of **non-target invertebrates**^{5,6}, with exposure to sublethal concentrations lacksquarepotentially leading to behavioural and physiological changes.^{7,8}
- Ants (Formicidae) have a highly social lifestyle⁹ including division of labour, overlapping generations, and cooperative broodcare.¹⁰ Depending on food ecology and nutritional demand colonies can be affected in many







Federal Agency for Nature Conservation



Camponotus maculatus sub-colony



different ways.¹¹ Despite their **important role in many terrestrial ecosystems**, no standarized test design for ants is available for exotoxicity testing.

Here, we present a method to use queenless ant sub-colonies (workers and larvae) to test the effects of imidacloprid lacksquaretaken up via the diet on workers and larvae of the formicine ant *Camponotus maculatus*.



C. maculatus workers drinking a honeywater-imidacloprid solution

Exposure



Results/Discussion

- Imidacloprid concentrations higher than 5mg/L have strong lethal effects on C. maculatus workers.
- Larval survival did not show a monotonic response to the imidacloprid concentration. However, mortality increased to above 30%. Reduced mortality at the highest concentration may result from a lower exposure due to high worker mortality (reduced larval feeding).
- Lower concentrations of imidacloprid seem to have strong sublethal effects, e.g. Worker mobility changes and show reduced larval care



- Results for **sublethal effects of larvae and workers** will be evaluated after completion of the currently ongoing experiment.
- The newly developed method is in principle suitable to support **hazard assessment** of active substances taken up by ants via the diet.



C. maculatus queen with 2 minor worker and pupae

Fig 1. Cumulative mortality of workers and larvae exposed to different imidacloprid concentrations.

Experiment A (dark red) workers only, Experiment B (light red, blue) workers and larvae.

References

1. Blacquiere T, Smagghe G, Van Gestel CAM, Mommaerts V (2012) Neonicotinoids in bees: a review on concentrations, side-effects and risk assessment. Ecotoxicology 21:973–992 2. Wood, T.J. & Goulson, D. (2017) The environmental risks of neonicotinoid pesticides: a review of the evidence post 2013. Environmental Scienceand Pollution Research, 24, 17285–17325. 3. Matsuda K, Buckingham SD, Kleier D, Rauh JJ, Grauso M, Sattelle DB (2001) Neonicotinoids: insecticides acting on insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Trends Pharmacol Sci 22:573-580 4. Goulson D (2013) An overview of the environmental risks posed by neonicotinoid insecticides. J Appl Ecol 50:977–987

- 5. Guedes RNC, Smagghe G, Stark JD, Desneux N (2016) Pesticide induced stress in arthropod pests for optimized integrated pest management programs. Annu Rev Entomol 61:3.1–3.20 6. Desneux N, Decourtye A, Delpuech J-M (2007) The sublethal effects of pesticides on beneficial arthropods. Annu Rev Entomol 52:81–106
- 7. Whitehorn PR, O'Conner S, Wackers FL, Goulson D (2012) Neonicotinoid pesticide reduces bumblebee colony growth and queen production. Science 20(336):351–352
- 8. Gill RJ, Ramos-Rodriguez O, Raine NE (2012) Combined pesticide exposure severely affects individual- and colony-level traits in bees. Nature 491:105–108
- 9. Cerda' X, Arnan X, Retana J (2013) Is competition a significant hallmark of ant (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) ecology? Myrmecol News 18:131–147
- 10. Wilson, E. 0. 1975. Sociobiology: the modern synthesis. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- 11. Carroll, C. R., & Janzen, D. H. (1973). Ecology of foraging by ants. Annual Review of Ecology and systematics, 4(1), 231-257.



This work is part of the the R&D project "Effects of insectresistant GMOs on ants: Studies on the effects of Bt proteins on ants and methodological requirements " (FKZ 3518 84 0500) commissioned by the Federal Agency for Nature Conservation (BfN), Germany with funds from the German Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, Nuclear Safety and **Consumer Protection.**