# GLOBAL SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF THE LEMNA MODEL BY SCHMITT ET AL. (2013) USING R

2

# Judith Klein<sup>1</sup>, Udo Hommen<sup>1</sup>, Johannes Witt<sup>2</sup>, Thomas G. Preuss<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Fraunhofer Institute for Molecular Biology and Applied Ecology IME, Schmallenberg, Germany
<sup>2</sup> Bayer AG, Crop Science Division, Monheim, Germany

The R script will be made available on request Contact: judith.klein@ime.fraunhofer.de

# 



#### Introduction

- Lemna model by Schmitt et al. 2013 is considered ready for use in the risk assessment of plant protection products in EFSA SO TKTD 2018 but a more generic sensitivity analysis was requested
- General behavior of the model parameters is investigated by conducting a <u>global sensitivity analysis</u>

# **Materials & Methods**

- Analysis is restricted to the simulation of <u>laboratory growth</u> tests (Tier 2C approach in EU risk assessment scheme)
- Different exposure patterns within the standard test duration of 7 days are covered:
  - a. constant exposure
  - b. two pulse exposure and
  - c. one pulse exposure
- As relevant endpoint the inhibition of growth rate over 7 days is used
- Analysis is done in R and compatible with the original published model R code



#### One-at-a time (OAT) analysis for all parameters and exposure scenarios is conducted

# Procedure: Sensitivity Analysis

2

For each exposure scenario		
ſ	For each parameter	
	Γ	For each simulation
		Set parameter value
		Run model (orig. R script)
		Save result (effect on growth rate)
ſ	Plo	ot results

### **Results & Discussions**

<u>Contribution to variance</u> with respect to the considered growth, TK and TD parameters in sensitivity analysis



 Based on linear correlation of inhibition of growth rate (but: nonlinear model)
Parameter EC50int contributes mostly to variance on the inhibition of growth rate

Scatter plots: Parameter values are plotted with respect to the effect on growth rate after 7 days in % for all three exposure scenarios: constant exposure (a), 2 peak exposure (b), 1 peak exposure (c)







Difference within the exposure scenarios for growth parameters is small

<u>Scatter plots</u>: Parameter EC50int is plotted versus the model parameter with respect to the effect on growth rate after 7 days in % for all three exposure scenarios: constant exposure (a), 2 peak exposure (b), 1 peak exposure (c)



 For high permeability values, effect on growth rate is not sensitive for changes in P
For small values of P, effect on growth rate is sensitive to changes in P
Parameter b

Parameter b has an effect, however not a directed effect

# Conclusions

 A sigmoid pattern for the TD parameter EC50int is observed

At first sight, effect

on growth rate is

not sensitive to a

change in TK

parameters

- Parameter b has an effect, however not a directed effect: it depends on the values of the other parameters whether an increase of b increases or decreases effect on growth rate
- The by far most sensitive parameter in the global sensitivity analysis over a broad parameter space is EC50int which explained about 95 % of the variability of the inhibition of the growth rate in all three exposure scenarios
- Scatter plots show that permeability P and slope b also need to be considered
- The value of EC50int, P and b are obtained by calibrating the model to experimental data

#### References

EFSA PPR Panel, 2014. Scientific Opinion on good modelling practice in the context of mechanistic effect models for risk assessment of plant protection products. EFSA Journal 2014; 12(3):3589, 92 pp. doi:10.2003/j.efsa.2014.3589

EFSA PPR Panel, 2018. Scientific Opinion on the state of the art of Toxicokinetic/Toxicodynamic (TKTD) effect models for regulatory risk assessment of pesticides for aquatic organisms. EFSA Journal 2018; 16(8):5377, 188 pp. doi:10.2903/jefsa.2018.5377 Schmitt WH, Bruns E, Dollinger M and Sowig P, 2013. Mechanistic TK/TD-model simulating the effect of growth inhibitors on Lemna populations Ecological Modelling, 255, 1–10.